

PLANNING ACT 2008 (as amended)

INFRASTRUCTURE PLANNING (EXAMINATION PROCEDURE)
RULES 2010

Response to ExA Questions Round 3

SUBJECT: Immingham Green Energy Terminal

APPLICANT: Associated British Ports

INTERESTED PARTY:

**NORTH EAST LINCOLNSHIRE
COUNCIL – Ref: IMGN-002**

YOUR REF: TR030008

Working in partnership

Introduction

Below are the answers from North East Lincolnshire Council in regard to the Examining Authorities (ExA) Written Questions (ExAQ3) of the 17th July 2024.

GEN3.2 – Noise Monitoring Location

Whilst not a matter raised by NELC the matter has been considered by NELC.

ML 4 is a greater distance from Kings Road/A1173 than the other NSR 4 receptors and, given the road noise on Kings Road/ A1173, the existing sound level recorded at ML 4 should be lower than levels at those other NSR 4 receptors. Setting of the construction noise thresholds and the background sound levels used in the operational noise assessment by reference to ML 4 should represent a worst-case scenario. NELC concur that although there are NSR 4 receptors which are slightly closer to Work No. 7 and which might be expected to experience slightly higher noise levels as a result of the development, this is more than off-set by the use of ML 4 and the lower baseline noise levels.

HRA3.2 – Habitat Compensation Scheme UU

It is NELCs understanding that discussions between the applicant and Natural England have progressed and that the mitigation that would have been secured through this UU is no longer required and subsequently NELC is no longer required to be a party to this agreement.

LV3.2 – Long Sections

NELC can confirm that they are in agreement with the explanation of the purpose and methodology of the Elevations in regard to North Beck Energy. It is not considered that further information is required on this matter.